

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,973	12/02/2003	Hermann Schleicher	4452-581	4947
	7590 12/27/200 TANI, LIEBERMAN &	EXAMINER		
551 FIFTH AVI	•	KERSHTEYN, IGOR		
SUITE 1210 NEW YORK, NY 10176			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3745	
				·
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
· 31 D/	AYS	12/27/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/725,973	SCHLEICHER E	SCHLEICHER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Igor Kershteyn	3745		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING C - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•			
· _ ·	—· s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		tters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	*	•		
Disposition of Claims		·		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application	1.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) 1-24 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		•	
Application Papers			•	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•		
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ts have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in	Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	-	n received in this Nationa	l Stage	
application from the International Burea				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies no	t received.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _	• •		

Application/Control Number: 10/725,973

Art Unit: 3745

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-11, drawn to a method of manufacturing a torque converter, classified in class 29, subclass 889.5.
- II. Claims 12-16, drawn to a torque converter having a blade with a specific shape, classified in class 416, subclass 223R.
- III. Claims 17-19, drawn to a torque converter having a specific mounting for the blades, classified in class 416, subclass 204R.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II-III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the method can be used in producing a turbine engine.

Inventions II and III are directed to related product. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the

inventions as claimed are not obvious variants because the torque converter blade on invention II having a specific shape do not necessarily requires to have specific connecting elements of the invention III, and the blade of invention III having a specific connecting elements do not require to have a specific shape of the blade of the invention II. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,973

Art Unit: 3745

A telephone call was made to Mr. Thomas C. Pontani (Reg. No. 29,763) on 12/14/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 3745

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Contact information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Kershteyn whose telephone number is (571)272-4817. The examiner can be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look, can be reached on **(571)272-4820**. The fax number is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 308 0861.

IGOR KERSHTEYN PRIMARY EXAMINEI

IK

December 14, 2006

Igbr Kershteyn

Primary Patent examiner.

Art Unit 3745